



Type of Meeting: Shell Rock Winnebago One Watershed, One Plan Advisory Committee

Date: December 16th, 2019

Time: 9:00- 11:00 AM

Location: Shell Rock River Watershed District
214 W. Main St.
Albert Lea, MN 56007

Facilitator: Courtney Phillips and Katy Thompson

Note Taker: Courtney Phillips

Attending: Katy Thompson, RESPEC; Jeff Berg, MDA; Bill Howe, Watershed Advisory Committee; Dan Girolamo, DNR; Jennifer Ronnenberg, MDH; Sue Miller, Freeborn County Public Works; Emily Zanon, MCPA; Andy Henschel, SRRWD; Michele Stindtman, Faribault SWCD; Steven Jahnke, City of Albert Lea; Mark Morreim, Morreim Drainage; Jack Korman, Farmer; Dave Copeland, BWSR; Phil Wacholz, City of Albert Lea, Susie Peterson, CVB; Julia Thompson, Albert Lea Anglers; Brenda Lageson, Freeborn SWCD; Chad Billet, Freeborn SWCD; Rachel Wehner, Freeborn County; Courtney Phillips, SRRWD; Dalton Syverson, City of Albert Lea.

1. Introduction

- Welcome, introductions, goals of the meeting
- Overview of plan development timeline and process so far

Courtney opened the meeting and asked everyone to introduce themselves and provided an ice breaker. After introductions were complete, a quick PowerPoint was given that included why we are here, the background to who is involved in the 1W1P process, some basic guidelines, as well as the different committees that were created as part of this process. There was also a quick update on what has been done so far, as well as what the next steps will be.

2. Issue statements, desired future conditions and draft goals

- Steering committee will present the draft issue statements, desired future conditions, and draft goals
- Advisory Committee reviews and provides feedback

For this portion of the meeting, the participants were split into groups of 3, and each were assigned to one resource category (groundwater, surface water, natural resources) to begin the gallery walk.

Groups then assembled at their assigned resource category and had 20 minutes to review, mark-up and provide feedback on the draft issue statements, desired future condition statements, and goals. The groups were asked to:

1st– understand and reflect on the **issue statement**.

- What do you like about the issue statement?
- What don't you like about the issue statement?
- Are their suggestions to help achieve greater clarity?

2nd– does the **desired future condition (DFC)** convey a clear and ideal or 'blue sky' vision for the future?

3rd – looking at the **goals**

- How well do the goals address the issue?
- Are there additional goals that could be considered?
- What do you like/dislike about the goals?
- What changes do you recommend?

After 20 minutes the groups rotated to the next two resource category and had 15 minutes to review at each one. The group then rotated one last time until they were where they started. They were given a few minutes to review the new comments, and a spokesperson was selected to present to the rest of the committee. Comments for each of the resource concern were discussed.

Next, each advisory committee member was given a set of colored dots with the specific meaning assigned below:

- Green –Number 1.
- Blue – Blue is 2
- Yellow – second lowest priority 9th
- Red – lowest priority = 10th

They were to individually select their number 1, 2, 9 and 10 priority resources to help guide the steering committees selection.

3. Next steps

- Closing thoughts and next steps
- Adjourn

After the gallery walk through and ranking exercise was completed, the group opened it up to a quick Q/A opportunity and the meeting was closed.