



Type of Meeting: Shell Rock and Winnebago One Watershed, One Plan Steering Committee

Date: February 12th, 2021

Time: 9:00am to 11:00am

Location: Zoom Meeting

Facilitator: Paul Marston

Attending: Rachel Wehner, Brenda Lageson, Andy Henschel, Courtney Phillips, Dave Copeland, Dalton Syverson, Shaina Keseley and Chad Billet.

Agenda:

1. Introduction

- Paul opened up talking about costs; he also discussed the projects and which ones will be listed as high, medium and low priorities. Then following the implementation table funding work, Dave is going to talk about program coordination and administration and we will wrap the meeting up with discussing next steps.

2. Implementation Schedule

- Paul shared his screen and opened up the implementation table. It was sent out before the meeting and everyone reviewed the document. There were a handful of projects (LSOHC) that already had funding and Paul left those out. We can address them in the plan but for the purpose of the implementation table we don't need them in there.
- Paul discussed where a number of projects that were listed in previous studies, that are not listed as a high priority, or not likely to be funded, can be found. Those projects are still a goal, so we wanted to capture that in a second column as a high, medium, or low category. For projects with high priority they have a cost associated and then low and medium would have their own column that is totaled. Add a table down below for each individual high, medium and low category.
- There was some clarification on what is all in the total costs for the biennium in the high, medium, and low category. BWSR thinks it's okay to have the medium and low listed as a cost. There was some clarification on what was high, medium and low, they were based mostly on Courtney's comments but we want to get others comments on the high, medium, and low categories. Maybe we need to re-change the wording to "priority funding potential" or "funding potential". Priority is the word of issue. We can make it as crystal clear as we want and the tables are what people look at and they might be mistaken by it. Courtney didn't think that medium needs to be pulled out separately.

- Next is talking about specific costs for the projects. It's a difficult assumption to come up with each site. Wetland restorations were low. Chad says when it comes to treated acres it's difficult. Dave said the WASCOS are looking very low.
- Courtney discussed the problem with the implementation table that she is having in regards to nothing will get done for the small dollar amounts that are listed.
- Currently there are dollar amounts in every section; BWSR was saying that we don't need dollar amounts necessarily in every section. Start doing number of practices vs the dollar amounts. Courtney asked about the current level of efforts for wascobs and waterways and what is being complete. Perhaps based on funding, we would move more of the high projects to medium.
- Paul is going to separate out not by dollar amounts, but by number of practices, and updates with the dollar amount needed. We might have to adjust the minimum control levels based on the increased dollar amounts of the projects. Courtney mentioned to start reviewing projects and be ready to move projects that are currently listed as high to more of a medium category based on what is realistic.

3. Plan Administration and Coordination

- Dave discussed the 1W1P guidebook and this talks about what considerations we want to have with structure regarding the implementation side of 1W1P.
- Dave shared a PowerPoint, discussed an MOA option, discussed what the options are for implementation including a JPA and JPC. We could take what the Zumbro did to their planning framework document to the policy committee meeting. Bring the County boards up to speed to discuss organizational arrangement. We would possibly like a decision made by May for the Policy committee meeting.
- Courtney asked about what it would take to get funding approval. There was some clarification on the difference between the MOA and JPA. There was a lot of clarification on what the Cedar is doing and Courtney asked some questions regarding how and who the funding process would be. Dave gave examples.

4. Next Steps

- Paul, next step is to update the table and resend out. Right now the group can do a review of what is high, medium and low, we can also address what our annual expected funding is for each entity for the narrative and the table at the end to list those projects.
- Next Meeting is Wednesday the 24th.